# Key access and utilization descriptors for maize genetic resources

This list consists of an initial set of characterization and evaluation descriptors for maize utilization. This strategic set of descriptors, together with passport data, will become the basis for the global accession level information portal being developed by Bioversity International with the financial support of the Global Crop Diversity Trust (GCDT). It will facilitate access to and utilization of maize accessions held in genebanks and does not preclude the addition of further descriptors, should data subsequently become available.

Based on the comprehensive list 'Descriptors for Maize' published by CIMMYT and IBPGR (now Bioversity International) in 1991, the list was subsequently compared with a number of sources such as UPOV technical guidelines for Maize (1994), 'Descriptors for MAIZE' (USDA, ARS, GRIN), 'Global Strategy for the *Ex situ* Conservation and Utilization of Maize Germplasm' (GCDT, 2007), Dr Taba's poster presented at the meeting held at the Sociedad Mexicana de Fitogenética (SOMEFI) in September 2008, 'Descriptors for Characterization and Evaluation of Maize' (National Institute of Agrobiological Sciences, Genebank of Japan), as well as with those descriptors that were awarded funds for further research by the GCDT in 2008 Evaluation Awards Scheme (EAS). The initial list also builds on the results of the Global Public Goods Activity 4.2.1.1, with special attention to breeding traits. It was further refined during a meeting held at the National Bureau of Plant Genetic Resources (NBPGR, India) in June 2009. It involved several scientists from NBPGR and the valuable contribution of Dr Sain Dass of the Directorate of Maize Research, Indian Council of Agricultural Research (ICAR).

A worldwide distribution of experts was involved in an online survey to define a first priority set of descriptors to describe, to access and to utilize maize genetic resources. This key set was afterwards validated by a Core Advisory Group (see 'Contributors') led by Dr Suketoshi Taba of International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center (CIMMYT).

Biotic and abiotic stresses included in the list were chosen because of their wide geographic occurrence and significant economic impact at a global level.

Numbers in parentheses on the right-hand side are the corresponding descriptor numbers listed in the 1991 publication. Descriptors with numbers ending in 'letters' are either modified or new descriptors that were added during the development of the list below.

#### **PLANT DATA**

<b>Days to tasseling</b> (male flowering) Number of days from sowing to when 50% of the plants have shed pollen	
<b>Days to silking</b> (female flowering) Number of days from sowing to when silks have emerged on 50% of the plants	(4.1.2)
<b>Days to ear leaf senescence</b> Number of days from sowing to when 50% of the plants have a dry ear leaf	(4.1.3)
Plant height [cm]	(4.1.4)

From ground level to the base of the tassel. After milk stage

<b>Ear height</b> [cm] From ground level to the node bearing the uppermost ear. After milk stage	(4.1.5)
<b>Foliage rating</b> Rating of total leaf surface	(4.1.6)
Number of leaves above the uppermost ear including ear leaf Counted on at least 20 representative plants. After milk stage	(4.1.7)
<b>Root lodging</b> [%] Percentage of plants root-lodged. This trait indicates root strength and standa before harvest	(4.1.10) ability. Two weeks
<b>Stalk lodging</b> [%] Percentage of plants stalk-lodged. Two weeks before harvest	(4.1.11)
Tassel typeAt milk stage1Primary2Primary-secondary3Primary-secondary-tertiary	(4.1.13)
Ear husk cover 3 Poor 5 Intermediate 7 Good	(4.2.1)
Ear damage Rating of kernel health. Amount of ear damage caused by ear rot and/or in 0 None 3 Little 7 Severe	(4.2.2) sects, etc.
Number of kernel rows	(4.2.4)

# Number of kernel rows

Count number of kernel rows in the central part of the uppermost ear

Kernel type		(4.3.1)
	to three kernel types in order of frequency	
1	Floury	
2	Semi-floury (morocho), with an external layer of hard endosperm	
3	Dent	
4	Semi-dent, intermediate between dent and flint but closer to dent	
5	Semi-flint, flint with a soft cap	
6	Flint	
7	Pop	
8	Sweet	
9	Opaque 2/QPM	
10	Tunicate	
11	Waxy	
Kernel co	<b>lour</b> (top of grain)	(4.3.2)
Indicate up	to three colours in order of frequency	
1	White	
2	Yellow	
3	Purple	
4	Variegated	
5	Brown	
6	Orange	
7	Mottled	
8	White cap	
9	Red	
	nel weight [g]	(4.3.3)
Adjusted to	0 10% moisture content	
Ear length	<b>ı</b> [cm]	(6.2.2)
Ear diame	eter [cm]	(6.2.4)
	t the central part of the uppermost ear	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Shape of	uppermost ear	(6.2.10)
1	Cylindrical	
2	Cylindrical-conical	
3	Conical	
4	Round	
Kernel ler	ngth [mm]	(6.3.1)

Average of 10 consecutive kernels from one row in the middle of the uppermost ear, measured with a calliper

<b>Kernel width</b> [mm] Measured on the same 10 kernels as 6.3.1	(6.3.2)
Grain yield	(6.3.X)
ABIOTIC STRESSES	
<b>Drought</b> Reflected in seed yield relative to control	(7.5)
BIOTIC STRESSES	
Ear rot, stalk rot (Diplodia maydis, Gibberella zeae, Fusarium moniliforme)	(8.1.1)
Common rust in temperate and highland environments (Puccinia sorghi	) (8.1.2a)
Southern rust in tropics (Puccinia polysora)	(8.1.2b)
Downy mildew (Peronosclerospora spp., Sclerophthora spp.)	(8.1.3)
Maydis leaf blight (Bipolaris maydis syn. Helminthosporium maydis)	(8.1.4a)
<i>Turcicum leaf blight (Exserohilum turcicum syn. Helminthosporium turcicum)</i>	(8.1.4b)
Corn stunt (Corn stunt spiroplasma) (CSS)	(8.2.1)
Borer (Chilo spp.)	(8.3.2)
Borer (Sesamia spp.)	(8.3.6)

# CONTRIBUTORS

Bioversity is grateful to all the scientists and researchers who have contributed to the development of this strategic set of 'Key access and utilization descriptors for maize genetic resources', and in particular to Dr Suketoshi Taba for providing scientific direction. Adriana Alercia provided technical expertise and guided the entire production process.

## **CORE ADVISORY GROUP**

**Suketoshi Taba**, International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center (CIMMYT), Mexico Sain Dass, Directorate of Maize Research, Indian Council of Agricultural Research (ICAR), India Joel Guiard, Groupe d'Etude et de Controle de Varietes et des Semences (GEVES), France Thomas Payne, International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center (CIMMYT), Mexico C. Tara Satyavathi, Indian Agricultural Research Institute (ICAR), India

### REVIEWERS

#### Argentina

Marcelo Edmundo Ferrer, Instituto Nacional de Tecnología Agropecuaria (INTA)

#### Australia

Sally Dillon, Queensland Primary Industries and Fisheries

#### Austria

Wolfgang Kainz, Austrian Agency for Health and Food Safety (AGES)

#### Brazil

Flavia França Teixeira, Empresa Brasileira de Pesquisa Agropecuária (Embrapa Maize and Sorghum)

#### Chile

Erika Salazar Suazo, Instituto de Investigaciones Agropecuarias (INIA)

#### France

Pierre Ruaud, Limagrain Group

#### Germany

Andreas Börner, Leibniz Institute of Plant Genetics and Crop Plant Research

#### Greece

G. Evgenidis, National Agricultural Research Foundation, Cereal Institute (NAGREF)

#### Guatemala

Mario Fuentes, Organización Integral de Desarrollo (OID)

#### India

Jyoti Kaul, Directorate of Maize Research, Indian Council of Agricultural Research (ICAR) Ashok Kumar, National Bureau of Plant Genetic Resources (NBPGR) J. C. Rana, National Bureau of Plant Genetic Resources Regional Station, Phagli, Shimla (NBPGR) P. H. Zaidi, International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center (CIMMYT)

#### Kenya

Yoseph Beyene, International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center (CIMMYT)

### Mexico

Flavio Aragón Cuevas, Instituto Nacional de Investigaciones Forestales, Agrícolas y Pecuarias (INIFAP) George Mahuku, International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center (CIMMYT) Rodomiro Ortiz, International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center (CIMMYT) Kevin Pixley, International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center (CIMMYT)

#### Nigeria

R. A. Adeleke, International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA), Ibadan

#### Peru

Luis Narro, International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center (CIMMYT)

#### Portugal

Ana Maria Barata, Instituto Nacional de Recursos Biológicos, Banco Português de Germoplasma Vegetal (INRB/BPGV)

#### Romania

Ion Antohe, National Agricultural Research and Development Institute (NARDI) Danela Murariu, Suceava Genebank

#### Russia

Galina Matveeva, N. I. Vavilov Research Institute of Plant Industry (VIR)

#### Spain

Amando Ordas, Spanish Council for Scientific Research (CSIC)

#### Thailand

Sansern Jampatong, National Corn and Sorghum Research Center

#### Turkey

Sekip Erdal, Bati Akdeniz Agricultural Resarch Institute (BATEM)

#### Ukraine

Natalia Kuz'myshyna, National Centre for Plant Genetic Resources of Ukraine

#### USA

Scott S. Johnson, Pegasus Genetics, CRD Advisors William Tracy, University of Wisconsin-Madison

#### Zimbabwe

John MacRobert, International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center (CIMMYT) Cosmos Magorokosho, International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center (CIMMYT)