Key access and utilization descriptors for sweet potato genetic resources

This list consists of an initial set of characterization and evaluation descriptors for sweet potato (*Ipomoea batatas*) genetic resources utilization. This strategic set of descriptors, together with passport data, will become the basis for the global accession level information portal being developed by Bioversity International with the financial support of the Global Crop Diversity Trust (GCDT). It will facilitate access to and utilization of sweet potato accessions held in genebanks and does not preclude the addition of further descriptors, should data subsequently become available.

Based on the comprehensive list 'Descriptors for Sweet potato' published by the International Potato Center (CIP), the Asian Vegetable Research and Development Center (AVRDC) and IBPGR (now Bioversity International) in 1991, the list was subsequently compared with a number of sources¹.

A worldwide distribution of experts was involved in an online survey to define a first priority set of descriptors to describe, to access and to utilize sweet potato genetic resources. This key set was afterwards validated by a Core Advisory Group (see 'Contributors') led by Dr David Tay and Dr Genoveva Rossel of CIP.

Biotic and abiotic stresses included in the list were chosen because of their wide geographical occurrence and significant economic impact at a global level.

Numbers in parentheses on the right-hand side are the corresponding descriptor numbers listed in the 1991 publication. Descriptors with numbers ending in 'letters' are either modified or are new descriptors that were added during the development of the list below.

^{1 (}a) Main output of the Germplasm Characterization National Workshop held on January 24-26, 2006 at the Philippine Root Crop Research and Training Center (PhilRootcrops) in Levte, Central Philippines

⁽b) Basic list of descriptors for Sweet Potato, drawn from Guarino, L. and Jackson, G.V.H. 'Describing and documenting root crops in the South Pacific'. Suva, Fiji, 1986. FAO. RAS/83/001, Field document 12

⁽c) 'Global Strategy for Ex-Situ Conservation of Sweetpotato Genetic Resources' (GCDT, 2007)

⁽d) Descriptors that were awarded funds for further research by the GCDT in 2008 Evaluation Awards Scheme (EAS)

⁽e) Criteria for evaluating sweet potato cultivars drawn from the Report on the ACIAR sweet potato workshop, held in Madang, PNG on 28-29 June 2006

⁽f) Important descriptors mentioned in the CIP website

⁽g) 'Descriptors for Characterization and Evaluation of Sweet potato' (National Institute of Agrobiological Sciences, Genebank of Japan)

⁽h) 'Descriptors for SWEETPOTATO' (USDA, ARS, GRIN)

PLANT DATA

Twining (ability) Ability of vines to climb adjacent stakes placed in those accessions showing twining characteristics 0 Non-twining

- 3 Slightly twining
- 5 Moderately twining
- 7 Twining
- 9 Very twining

Plant growth habit (type)

Length of the main vines

- Erect (<75cm) 3
- 5 Semi-erect (75-150 cm)
- Spreading (151-250 cm) 7
- 9 Extremely spreading (>250 cm)

Ground cover

Estimated percentage of ground cover recorded 35-40 days after planting

- Low (<50%) 3
- 5 Medium (50-74%)
- 7 High (75-90%)
- 9 Total (>90%)

Vine internode length

Average length of at least three internodes located in the middle section of the vine

- Very short (<3 cm) 1
- 3 Short (3-5 cm)
- 5 Intermediate (6-9 cm)
- 7 Long (10-12 cm)
- 9 Very long (>12 cm)

Vine internode diameter

Average diameter of at least three internodes located in the middle section of the vine

- Very thin (<4 mm) 1
- 3 Thin (4-6 mm)
- 5 Intermediate (7-9 mm)
- 7 Thick (10-12 mm)
- 9 Very thick (>12 mm)

(4.1.1)

(4.1.2)

(4.1.3)

(4.1.4.1)

(4.1.4.2)

Predominant vine colour (4.1.5.1)		
1	Green	
2	Green with few purple spots	
3	Green with many purple spots	
4	Green with many dark purple spots	
5	Mostly purple	
6	Mostly dark purple	
7	Totally purple	
8	Totally dark purple	
Seconda	ary vine colour	(4.1.5.2)
0	Absent	(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
1	Green base	
2	Green tip	
3	Green nodes	
4	Purple base	
5	Purple tip	
6	Purple nodes	
99	Other (specify in the descriptor Notes)	
Vine tip	pubescence	(4.1.6)
Degree of	hairiness of immature leaves recorded at the apex of the vines	()
0	Absent	
3	Sparse	
5	Moderate	
7	Dense	
General	outline of the leaf	(4.1.7.1)
1	Rounded	(<i>'</i>
2	Reniform (kidney-shaped)	
3	Cordate (heart-shaped)	
4	Triangular	
5	Hastate (trilobular and spear-shaped with the basal lobes more	e or less divergent)
6	Lobed	0 /
7	Almost divided	
Leaf lobes type (4.1.7.		(4.1.7.2)
0	No lateral lobes (entire)	. ,
1	Very slight (teeth)	
3	Slight	
5	Moderate	
7	Deep	

9 Very deep

Leaf lobe number

Most leaves of sweet potatoes have two basal lobes and they should not be counted. Record the predominant number of lateral and central leaf lobes observed on the leaves located in the middle section of the vine.

Generally sweet potatoes have 1, 3, 5, 7 or 9 leaf lobes. If the leaf has no lateral lobes but shows a central tooth this number is 1. If the apical portion of the leaf is rounded this number is 0

Shape of central leaf lobe

- 0 Absent
- 1 Toothed
- 2 Triangular
- 3 Semi-circular
- 4 Semi-elliptic
- 5 Elliptic
- 6 Lanceolate
- 7 Oblanceolate
- 8 Linear (broad)
- 9 Linear (narrow)

Mature leaf size

Length from the basal lobes to the tip of the leaves. Record the average expression of at least three leaves located in the middle section of the vine

- 3 Small (<8 cm)
- 5 Medium (8-15 cm)
- 7 Large (16-25 cm)
- 9 Very large (>25 cm)

Abaxial leaf vein pigmentation

Describe the most frequent expression of the distribution of anthocyanin (purple) pigmentation shown in the veins of the lower surface of leaves

- 1 Yellow
- 2 Green
- 3 Purple spot in the base of main rib
- 4 Purple spots in several veins
- 5 Main rib partially purple
- 6 Main rib mostly or totally purple
- 7 All veins partially purple
- 8 All veins mostly or totally purple
- 9 Lower surface and veins totally purple

(4.1.8)

(4.1.9)

(4.1.7.3)

(4.1.7.4)

Mature le	af colour (4.1.10.1)	
1	Yellow-green	
2	Green	
3	Green with purple edge	
4	Greyish-green (due to dense pubescence)	
5	Green with purple veins on upper surface	
6	Slightly purple	
7	Mostly purple	
8	Green upper surface, purple lower surface	
9	Purple on both surfaces	
Immature	leaf colour (4.1.10.2)	
1	Yellow-green	
2	Green	
3	Green with purple edge	
4	Greyish-green (due to dense pubescence)	
5	Green with purple veins on upper surface	
6	Slightly purple	
7	Mostly purple	
8	Green upper surface, purple lower surface	
9	Purple on both surfaces	
Petiole le	ngth (4.1.11)	
Average pe	tiole length, from the base to the insertion with the blade, of at least three leaves in	L
the middle	portion of a main vine	
1	Very short (<10 cm)	
3	Short (10-20 cm)	
5	Intermediate (21-30 cm)	
7	Long (31-40 cm)	
9	Very long (>40 cm)	
Petiole pi	gmentation (4.1.12)	

Distribution of anthocyanin (purple) pigmentation in the petioles of leaves. Indicate the most predominant colour first

- 1 Green
- 2 Green with purple near stem
- 3 Green with purple near leaf
- 4 Green with purple at both ends
- 5 Green with purple spots throughout petiole
- 6 Green with purple stripes
- 7 Purple with green near leaf
- 8 Some petioles purple, some others green
- 9 Totally or mostly purple

Storage root shape

Storage root outline shown in longitudinal section

1 Round – almost a circular outline with a length to breadth (L/B) ratio of about 1:1

(4.2.1)

- 2 Round elliptic a slightly circular outline with acute ends. L/B ratio not more than 2:1
- 3 Elliptic symmetrical outline with about the maximum breadth at equal distance from both ends which are slightly acute. L/B ratio not more than 3:1
- 4 Ovate outline resembling the longitudinal section of an egg. The broadest part is at the distal end (i.e. away from the root stalk)
- 5 Obovate inversely ovate outline. The broadest part is at the proximal end (i.e. close to the root stalk)
- 6 Oblong almost rectangular outline with sides nearly parallel and corners rounded. L/B ratio about 2:1
- 7 Long oblong oblong outline with a L/B ratio of more than 3:1
- 8 Long elliptic elliptic outline with a L/B ratio of more than 3:1
- 9 Long irregular or curved

Storage root surface defects (4.2.2)Absent 0 1 Alligator-like skin 2 Veins 3 Shallow horizontal constrictions 4 Deep horizontal constrictions 5 Shallow longitudinal grooves 6 Deep longitudinal grooves 7 Deep constrictions and deep grooves 99 Other (specify in the descriptor Notes) Storage root cortex thickness (4.2.3)1 Very thin (<1 mm) 3 Thin (1-2 mm) 5 Intermediate (2-3 mm) 7 Thick (3-4 mm) 9 Very thick (>4 mm) Predominant storage root skin colour (4.2.4.1)White 1 2 Cream 3 Yellow 4 Orange

- 5 Brownish orange
- 6 Pink
- 7 Red
- 8 Purple-red
- 9 Dark purple

Intensit	y of predominant storage root skin colour	(4.2.4.2)
1	Pale	(,
2	Intermediate	
3	Dark	
Second	ary storage root skin colour	(4.2.4.3)
0	Absent	
1	White	
2	Cream	
3	Yellow	
4	Orange	
5	Brownish orange	
6	Pink	
7	Red	
8	Purple-red	
9	Dark purple	
Predom	inant storage root flesh colour	(4.2.5.1)
1	White	
2	Cream	
3	Dark cream	
4	Pale yellow	
5	Dark yellow	
6	Pale orange	
7	Intermediate orange	
8	Dark orange	
9	Strongly pigmented with anthocyanins	
Second	ary storage root flesh colour	(4.2.5.2)
0	Absent	
1	White	
2	Cream	
3	Yellow	
4	Orange	
5	Pink	
6	Red	
-		

- 7 Purple-red
- 8 Purple
- 9 Dark purple

Distribution of secondary storage root flesh colour0Absent1Narrow ring in cortex		(4.2.5.3)
2	Broad ring in cortex	
3	Scattered spots in flesh	
4	Narrow ring in flesh	
5	Broad ring in flesh	
6 7	King and other areas in fiesh	
/ 8	Covering most of the flesh	
9	Covering all flesh	
-		
Storage	root dry matter content [%]	(6.2.1)
Storage Use the K	root nitrogen content [%] jeldahl Method	(6.2.2)
Storage root starch content [% DW]		(6.2.4)
Storage root total alcohol soluble sugar content [%] The phenol-sulphuric method is suggested		(6.2.5)
Storage root carotene content [mg/100g FW]		(6.2.6)
Consiste	ency of boiled storage root	(6.2.9.1)
1	Watery	· · · ·
2	Extremely soft	
3	Very soft	
4	Soft	
5	Slightly hard	
6	Moderately hard	
7	Hard	
8	Very hard and non-cooked	
)	Very hard and hore-cooked	
Texture	of boiled storage root flesh	(6.2.9.3)
1	Dry	
3	Somewhat dry	
5	Intermediate	
7	Moist	
9	Very moist	

(7.1)

(7.2)

(7.3)

(7.4)

ABIOTIC STRESSES

Reaction to drought

Observed after six weeks without irrigation or rainfall in a soil without subsurface water and in a season of high evaporation (4-6 mm per day)

Reaction to flooding

Late season flooding during storage root formation. The environmental conditions could consist of about two weeks' flooding (water-saturated soil) in a heavy soil

Reaction to heat

Hot season with night temperatures of more than 22°C. The yield comparisons could be versus yields obtained under cooler conditions

Reaction to salinity

In a soil with salinity levels of more than 8 mmhos/cm. The yield comparisons could be versus yields obtained in soils with less than 2 mmhos/cm

BIOTIC STRESSES

Sweet potato weevil (Cylas spp.)	(8.1.1)
Root-knot nematode (Meloidogyne spp.)	(8.2.1)
Fusarium wilt or stem rot (Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. batatas)	(8.3.1)
Black rot (Ceratocystis fimbriata)	(8.3.5)
Java black rot (Diplodia gossypina)	(8.3.8)
Scab or spot anthracnose (Elsinoe batatas)	(8.3.10)
Charcoal rot (Macrophomina phaseoli)	(8.3.14)
Bacterial stem and root rot (Erwinia chrysanthemi)	(8.4.2)
Sweet potato virus disease (SPVD complex)	(8.5.4)
Sweet potato chlorotic stunt virus (SPCSV)	(8.5.X)

NOTES

Any additional information may be specified here, particularly that referring to the category '99=Other' present in some of the descriptors above.

CONTRIBUTORS

Bioversity is grateful to all the scientists and researchers who have contributed to the development of this strategic set of 'Key access and utilization descriptors for sweet potato genetic resources', and in particular to Dr D. Tay and Dr G. Rossel of the International Potato Centre (CIP) for providing valuable scientific direction. Adriana Alercia provided technical expertise and guided the entire production process.

CORE ADVISORY GROUP

Genoveva Rossel, International Potato Center (CIP), Peru David Tay, International Potato Center (CIP), Peru Danny Hunter, Bioversity International, Italy Grahame Jackson, Australia Robert Jarret, United States Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service (USDA, ARS), USA Ana Panta, International Potato Center (CIP), Peru Ramanatha Rao, Bioversity International, India Ivo Sias Costa, Empresa Brasileira de Pesquisa Agropecuária (EMBRAPA), Brazil Luis Antônio Suita de Castro, Empresa Brasileira de Pesquisa Agropecuária (EMBRAPA), Brazil

REVIEWERS

Argentina

Carla Marcela Arizio, Instituto Nacional de Tecnología Agropecuaria (INTA)

Australia

Michael Hughes, Department of Employment, Economic Development and Innovation (DEEDI), Queensland - Primary Industries and Fisheries Gunnar Kirchhof, University of Queensland

China

Qinghe Cao, Xuzhou Sweet Potato Research Centre Kaiyun Xie, International Potato Center (CIP), Liaison Office China

Kenya

Sammy Agili Makanginya, International Potato Center (CIP)

India

Dindo Campilan, International Potato Center (CIP) S.K. Naskar, Central Tuber Crops Research Institute

Indonesia

Muhammad Jusuf Yakub, Indonesian Legumes and Tuber Crops Research Institute

Malawi

Felistus Chipungu, Department of Agricultural Research Services

Nigeria

Malachy Akoroda, Sweetpotato Promotion Group

Papua New Guinea

Joseph Kapis, World Vision Tom Okpul, Papua New Guinea University of Technology

Philippines

Teresita H. Borromeo, University of the Philippines Los Baños Hidelisa de Chavez, International Potato Center (CIP-UPWARD) Proceso H. Manguiat, University of the Philippines Los Baños Algerico M. Mariscal, Philippine Root Crop Research and Training Center (PhilRootcrops)

Tanzania

Stephen Kuoko Sebastiani, Horticulture Research Institute (HORTI Tengeru)